

Definitions: The AIMS wavefunction is,

$$|\Psi(x, t)\rangle \equiv \sum_I c_I(t) |\chi_I(x, t)\rangle |I\rangle$$

where $c_I(t)$ is the TBF amplitude, $|\chi_I(x, t)\rangle$ is a frozen Gaussian nuclear basis function, and $|I\rangle$ is the adiabatic electronic state.

Approximation 1: We wish to compute an arbitrary time-dependent observable depending on the nuclear coordinates,

$$\bar{O}(t) \equiv \langle \Psi(x, t) | O(x) | \Psi(x, t) \rangle$$

Plugging in, this is,

$$\bar{O}(t) = \sum_{IJ} c_I^* c_J \delta_{IJ}^e \langle \chi_I(x, t) | O(x) | \chi_J(x, t) \rangle$$

I think an OK approximation is,

$$\bar{O}(t) \approx \sum_{IJ} c_I^*(t) S_{IJ}(t) c_J(t) O(\bar{x}_{IJ}(t))$$

Here,

$$\bar{x}_{IJ}(t) \equiv \frac{\langle \chi_I(x, t) | x | \chi_J(x, t) \rangle}{\langle \chi_I(x, t) | \chi_J(x, t) \rangle} = \frac{\alpha_I x_I(t) + \alpha_J x_J(t)}{\alpha_I + \alpha_J} = \frac{1}{2} [\bar{x}_I(t) + \bar{x}_J(t)]$$

Here the last equality holds only for $\alpha_I = \alpha_J$ (common in AIMS).

The approximation invoked above is,

$$\langle \chi_I(x, t) | O(x) | \chi_J(x, t) \rangle \approx \langle \chi_I(x, t) | O(\bar{x}_{IJ}) | \chi_J(x, t) \rangle = S_{IJ} O(\bar{x}_{IJ})$$

This will be accurate if $O(x)$ varies slowly from $O(\bar{x}_{IJ})$ relative to the integration weight $\chi_I^*(x, t) \chi_J(x, t)$. In AIMS, the TBFs are quite narrow, so this is probably a fine approximation.

Approximation 2: Another possible approximation is,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{O}(t) &\approx \sum_{IJ} c_I^*(t) S_{IJ}(t) c_J(t) \frac{1}{2} [O(\bar{x}_I(t)) + O(\bar{x}_J(t))] \\ &= \sum_I O(\bar{x}_I(t)) \sum_J \frac{1}{2} [c_I^*(t) S_{IJ}(t) c_J(t) + c_J^*(t) S_{JI}(t) c_I(t)] \\ &\equiv \sum_I O(\bar{x}_I(t)) q_I(t) \end{aligned}$$

This is the Mulliken-charge-based estimate, or as Todd prefers, the “bra-ket averaged Taylor approximation”